

Research Article

## Effect of Piperine as an Alternative Phytogetic Additive to Antibiotic on Broiler Productivity, Carcass Traits and Oxidative Status

Talaat K. El-Rayes\*; Ahmed Galal Emara; Adel Elsayed Abouzeid

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University 31527, Tanta, Egypt.

\* Correspondence: [talat.elrayes@gmail.com](mailto:talat.elrayes@gmail.com)

### Article info: -

- Received: 6 September 2023  
- Revised: 4 October 2023  
- Accepted: 14 October 2023  
- Published: 1 November 2023

### Keywords:

Piperine; Broiler; Productivity;  
Carcass traits; Oxidative status

### Abstract:

This study aimed to shed more light on the impacts of piperine on growth performance, carcass characteristics and oxidative status of broilers. A total of 300 one-day-old unsexed (Ross 308 classic FF) broiler chicks were randomly distributed into 4 equal groups. The 1<sup>st</sup> group (control negative) was fed a diet without any supplementation, the second group (control positive) was fed a basal diet supplemented with neomycin at the level of 200 mg/kg of feed, while the third and fourth groups were fed a basal diet supplemented with piperine at the level of 50 and 100 mg/kg diet, respectively. At the age of 5 weeks, the best values of productive performance represented in body weight and weight gain were achieved in groups fed a diet supplemented with 100 mg piperine/kg of diet. Feed consumption and feed conversion ratio of broilers were not affected by piperine supplementation levels up to 100 mg/kg of feed. The relative weights of carcass, dressing, liver, bursa of Fabricius, thymus, and spleen were significantly ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) increased by increasing the piperine supplementation level up to 100 mg/kg of feed. Additionally, dietary piperine at the level of 100 mg/kg improved the oxidative status; this is evident through a significant increase in the levels of both TAC and SOD and decreasing the amount of MDA in blood plasma. It could be recommended that, a supplemented diet with piperine up to 100mg/kg improved the productive performance traits, carcass characteristics and oxidative status of broiler.

### 1. Introduction

In 2006, the European Union outlawed utilizing antimicrobial feed additives, such as antibiotics and chemotherapeutic medicines, as growth boosters in cattle and poultry diets (Castanon, 2007). Therefore, a global quest was started for equivalently effective alternatives without detrimental effects on consumer health and animal welfare. Possible natural substitutes for antibiotics as growth promoters in broiler diets include herbal extracts, spices, and some of their constituents (phytogetic additives).

Plants play an important role in the identification of novel medicinal medicines. They have garnered a lot of interest lately for the isolation of biologically active compounds for illness treatment. Plant-based medicinal substances have long been utilized as traditional therapy for a wide range of human ailments in various regions of the world. It is still the principal source of medicine in developing-country rural communities. Traditional remedies are used by around 80% of the inhabitants of poor nations to treat health issues. Biologically active chemicals originating from medicinal plants have been used to develop novel chemical leads for the pharmaceutical sector. Evidence suggests that just 1% of the 500,000 plant species found globally have been phytochemically examined, indicating that medicinal plants have a high potential for identifying novel bioactive chemicals. Phytogetic feed additives are plant-derived materials used in animal feeding to increase the performance of agricultural cattle. They may assuage custom-

ers' growing concerns because they are safe and effective. Phytogetics may also help to mitigate the severe environmental issue of bacterial resistance, which is produced by the usage of antibiotics as growth promoter chemicals (Perić et al., 2009). Phytogetics can increase food consumption and conversion, as well as digestibility and weight growth in broiler chickens. However, the mechanism of action of these compounds are yet unknown (Scheuermann et al., 2009). Studies on the use of phytogetics in broiler feeding have yielded inconclusive - either good or negative - effects on broiler performance (Botsoglou et al., 2002; Ertas et al., 2005; Cross et al., 2007; Ocak et al., 2008).

In the poultry business, the usage of phytobiotic compounds in their diets became a widespread practice in order to support high performance by chickens. Useful phytochemicals can be categorized into a number of groups, including phenolics and polyphenols (including simple phenols, phenolic acids, quinones, flavones, tannins, and coumarins), terpenoids, essential oils, alkaloids, lectins, and polypeptides. The good impact on feed intake, digestive secretions, immunological stimulation, antibacterial and coccidiostatical, antiviral, or anti-inflammatory activity of botanical supplements in poultry may be the source of these benefits (Cowan, 1999). To distinguish between the plant products used in veterinary medicine (prophylaxis and therapy of diagnosed health problems), Windisch and Kroismayr, (2006) redefined phytobiotic as plant-derived compounds added to feed to enhance the performance of agricultural cattle. In addition to their antimicrobial activity (Dorman and Deans, 2000), phytobiotic com-

pounds exhibit antioxidants activities (Botsoglou et al., 2002) and can stimulate animal digestive systems (Ramakrishna et al., 2003) by increasing digestive enzymes secretion and improving the utilization of digestive products through enhanced liver functions (Hernandez et al., 2004).

In this sense, piperine (1-piperoyl-piperidine) is a primary alkaloid component of black (*Piper nigrum* Linn.) and long (*Piper longum* Linn.) pepper, responsible for its strong and biting flavor (Dogra et al., 2004). These pepper species have been used as a condiment in cooking as well as a component in traditional medicine to cure a variety of diseases. Piperine has antibacterial (Reddy et al., 2004), anti-inflammatory (Pradeep and Kuttan, 2004), and antioxidant (Mittal and Gupta, 2000) capabilities among its chemical-biological activities. It also improves the absorption of some medications in the body (Karan et al., 1999) and serves as a chemo-preventive factor against cytochrome P-450-activated pro-carcinogens (Reen et al., 1997).

According to Kohler et al. (2000), the active components of phyto-genic additions are absorbed in the gut by enterocytes and promptly digested by the body. Piperine alters membrane fluidity and permeation properties, as well as protein production related to cytoskeletal function, increasing of the small intestine absorptive surface (Khajuria et al., 2002). Piperine's fast metabolism and short half-life imply a low danger of accumulating in tissue.

So, the goal of the current study was to shed more light on the effect of piperine as an alternative phyto-genic additive to antibiotics on broiler productivity, carcass traits and oxidative status.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Experimental Design

#### 2.1.1. Birds and management

Three hundred one-day-old unsexed (Ross 308 classic FF) broiler chicks were randomly divided into 4 experimental groups with three duplicates of twenty-five birds. The first group served as control negative and fed a basal diet without any supplementation, the second group served as control positive and fed a basal diet supplemented with neomycin at the level of 200 mg per kg of feed, while the third and fourth groups were fed a basal diet supplemented with piperine at the level of 50 and 100 mg/kg diet, respectively. Throughout the five weeks of the study, all experimental groups were raised in floor pens and reared under similar managerial and hygienic conditions according to the recommendations of the breed guide used in the study.

#### 2.1.2. Experimental diet

The basal diet was a commercial corn-soybean meal diet formulated to meet or exceed the nutritional requirement of growing chicks as recommended by the

manual of (Ross 308) strain, as shown in Table (1). A vertical mixer with a 500-kg capacity was used for the homogenization of the feed treatments, and mixing was done 15 min after the last ingredient was added. Micronutrients were premixed, and the different concentrations of piperine were incorporated to the basal diet instead of a fixed amount of the inert material, without altering the composition of the diet.

**Table (1):** The composition and calculated analysis of basal diet.

Ingredients	Experimental diets	
	Starter	Grower
Yellow corn	50.48	58.64
Soybean meal (44%)	32.55	30.80
Corn gluten meal (62%)	7.10	2.52
Soybean oil	6.00	4.88
Limestone	1.45	1.30
Dicalcium phosphate	1.69	1.16
Salt	0.30	0.30
Premix*	0.30	0.30
DL-Methionine	0.10	0.10
L. Lysine	0.03	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.00</b>	<b>100.00</b>
Calculated analysis**		
Crude protein (%)	23.01	20.05
ME (Kcal/Kg)	3100	3200
Ether extract (%)	2.40	2.50
Crude fiber (%)	3.50	3.50
Calcium (%)	1.03	0.90
Available phosphorus (%)	0.45	0.35
Methionine (%)	0.50	0.43
Lysine (%)	1.11	1.00

\* Each 3kg of premix contained: Vit. A 12000IU, Vit. D 2200IU, Vit. E 10mg, Vit. K<sub>3</sub> 2000mg, Vit. B<sub>1</sub> 1000mg, Vit. B<sub>2</sub> 3000mg, Vit. B<sub>6</sub> 1300mg, Vit. B<sub>12</sub> 10mg, Pantothenic acid 10mg, Niacin 30mg, Folic acid 1000mg, Biotin 50mg, Choline chloride 300mg, Manganese 60mg, Zinc 50mg, Copper 10mg, Iron 30mg, Iodine 1000mg, Selenium 100mg, Cobalt 100mg and CaCO<sub>3</sub> to 3g.

\*\* Calculated according to NRC (1994).

### 2.2. Measurements

#### 2.2.1. Performance traits

Live body weight (LBW), weight gain (WG), feed consumption (FC) and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were evaluated at the first and fifth wks of age, as follow:

$$WG = \text{Final LBW} - \text{Initial LBW}$$

$$FCR = \frac{\text{Feed consumed (g) during a certain period}}{\text{Body weight gain (g) during the same period}}$$

#### 2.2.2. Carcass characteristics:

At the end of the trial, nine birds from each group (3 birds from each replicate) were randomly chosen for the slaughter test, weighed, and then slain by having their jugular veins severed in the morning. The birds were then scalded and defeathered following total bleeding. Liver, heart, gizzard, spleen, bursa, and thymus were individually weighed after the carcasses had been carefully dissected and eviscerated. All organ weights were

converted to an arcsine expression and represented as a percentage of body weight.

2.2.3. Oxidative status:

At the end of the trial, nine birds from each treatment were randomly chosen to collect the blood samples into heparinized tubes and centrifuged for 15 min at 5000 rpm to obtain plasma. Plasma samples were examined for levels of superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione (GSH), and malondialdehyde (MDA) using a microplate spectrophotometer at the wave length of (275, 405, and 534 nm), respectively with a commercial detection kit (Bio-diagnostic, Egypt), following the manufacturer's instructions.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed by one-way ANOVA, using the general linear model procedure (SAS, 1996). Tests of significance for differences among treatments were done according to Duncan (1955). The statistical model was used for the analysis of variance to estimate the effect of piperine supplementation levels on broiler performance and physiological status as follows:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$$

Where:

$Y_{ij}$  = The observations.

$\mu$  = Overall mean.

$T_i$  = Effect treatments (i = 1, 2, 3 and 4).

$e_{ij}$  = Residual effects ( Random error ).

3. Results

3.1. Productive performance traits

Data of broiler chick's body weight, weight gain, feed consumption, and feed conversion ratio as influenced by piperine supplementation level are illustrated in Table (2). Piperine supplementation significantly ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) impacted body weight (BW, g) and weight gain (WG, g) at 5 weeks of the experimental period. Chicks fed a diet supplemented with piperine at the level of 100 mg/kg of feed showed the highest BW and WG at 5 weeks of the experimental period followed by those received a diet supplemented with piperine at levels of 50 mg/kg, as compared to both control negative and control positive.

Feed consumption (FC, g) and feed conversion ratio (FCR, g/g) of broilers were not affected by piperine supplementation levels up to 100 mg/kg of feed.

3.2. Carcass characteristics

Data illustrated in Table (3) shows the effect of piperine supplementation levels on the carcass characteristics of broilers. Results indicated that all carcass characteristics were positively ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) affected by piperine supplementation except the relative weights of heart and gizzard. The relative weights of carcass, dressing, liver, bursa of Fabricius, thymus, and spleen were significantly ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) increased by increasing piperine supplementation level up to 100 mg/kg of feed, as compared to the control group. The improvement in the relative weight of lymphoid organs (bursa of Fabricius, thymus, and spleen) indicated that there was an improvement in the immune-response as a result of using piperine in broiler diets up to 100 mg/kg.

3.3. Oxidative status

Data illustrated in Table (4) shows the effect of piperine supplementation levels on the oxidative status of broilers. Results indicated a significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) improvement in the oxidative status; this is evident through a significant increase in the levels of both TAC and SOD and decreasing the amount of MDA in blood plasma. The amount of TAC was significantly ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) increased with increasing levels of piperine from 50 up to 100 mg/kg of feed. Broilers fed a diet supplemented with piperine at the level of 100 mg/kg of feed possessed the highest amount of TAC followed by those received 50 mg/kg by 37.96 and 22.86% respectively, as compared to the control negative group. The same direction was observed for the activity of SOD enzyme; broilers fed a diet supplemented with piperine at the level of 100 mg/kg of feed possessed the highest activity of SOD followed by those received 50 mg/kg by 76.09 and 21.54% respectively, as compared to the control negative group.

Table (2): Productive performance of broilers affected by piperine supplementation levels.

Treatments	Initial BW	BW at 5 weeks	WG at 5 weeks	FC at 5 weeks	FCR at 5 weeks
T <sub>1</sub>	41.76	1863.31 <sup>b</sup>	1821.55 <sup>c</sup>	3275.43	1.97
T <sub>2</sub>	41.80	1912.15 <sup>ab</sup>	1870.35 <sup>bc</sup>	3362.07	1.79
T <sub>3</sub>	41.58	1969.67 <sup>a</sup>	1928.09 <sup>ab</sup>	3452.83	1.79
T <sub>4</sub>	41.80	1995.45 <sup>a</sup>	1953.65 <sup>a</sup>	3394.83	1.73
SEM	±0.36	±28.56	±17.08	±38.68	±0.03
significant	NS	**	**	NS	NS

-Means of each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

-NS indicate not significant

-\*\*indicates significance at  $P < 0.01$

- SEM indicate standard error of the mean

- BW= Body weight

- WG= weight gain

- FC= Feed consumption

- FCR= Feed conversion ratio

**Table (3):** Carcass characteristics of broilers affected by piperine supplementation levels.

Treatments	% Carcass	% Total giblets			% Dressing	% Lymphoid organs		
		Heart	Liver	Gizzard		Bursa	Thymus	spleen
T <sub>1</sub>	78.43 <sup>bc</sup>	0.51	2.68 <sup>b</sup>	1.32	82.95 <sup>bc</sup>	0.044 <sup>d</sup>	0.26 <sup>b</sup>	0.14 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	76.56 <sup>c</sup>	0.52	2.49 <sup>ab</sup>	1.34	80.91 <sup>c</sup>	0.049 <sup>c</sup>	0.26 <sup>b</sup>	0.16 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	80.12 <sup>ab</sup>	0.54	2.74 <sup>ab</sup>	1.36	84.76 <sup>ab</sup>	0.052 <sup>b</sup>	0.34 <sup>a</sup>	0.17 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	82.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.55	2.90 <sup>a</sup>	1.38	86.93 <sup>a</sup>	0.055 <sup>a</sup>	0.36 <sup>a</sup>	0.18 <sup>a</sup>
SEM	±0.81	±0.02	±0.08	±0.05	±1.18	±0.01	±0.02	±0.01
significant	**	NS	**	NS	**	**	**	**

-Means of each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

-NS indicate not significant

-\*\*indicates significance at P<0.01

- SEM indicate standard error of the mean

**Table (4):** Oxidative status of broilers affected by piperine supplementation levels

Treatments	TAC ( mM/L)	SOD (U/ml)	MDA (n.mol / l)
T <sub>1</sub>	7.35 <sup>ab</sup>	17.36 <sup>c</sup>	20.81 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	5.93 <sup>b</sup>	17.05 <sup>c</sup>	22.65 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	9.03 <sup>a</sup>	21.10 <sup>b</sup>	11.64 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	10.14 <sup>a</sup>	30.57 <sup>a</sup>	10.95 <sup>b</sup>
SEM	±0.87	±28.56	±3.12
significant	**	**	**

- Means of each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

- NS indicate not significant

-\* indicate significance at P<0.05

- SEM indicate standard error of the mean

- TAC= Total antioxidants capacity

- SOD=super oxide dismutase

- MDA=Malonaldehyde

#### 4. Discussion

Piperine is known to improve the bioavailability of a wide range of structurally and therapeutically varied medicines, nutrients and phytochemicals (Zutshi et al., 1985 and Bano et al., 1991). Also, it is absorbed relatively fast through the intestinal barrier, according to research. It has non-saturable absorption kinetics, a quick absorption clearance, and a high permeability coefficient (Khajuria et al., 1998). Because piperine is a polar molecule, it is fair to assume that it may affect membrane dynamics due to its facile partitioning in the hydrophobic core and hence aid in solute permeability. Improvement of broiler's body weight and weight gain as a result of piperine treatment was observed by (Ghazalah et al., 2007; Hosseini, 2011; Cardoso et al., 2012; Ghaedi et al., 2014 and Moradi et al., 2016). Additionally, Hosseini, (2011) showed that the most active component in black pepper, piperine, had the ability to increase digestion through arousing digestive liquids in the stomach and eradicating infectious bacteria. Furthermore, piperine affects the absorption power, decreases material transit velocity, increases digestive enzymes, and promotes pancreatic digestive enzymes such as lipase, amylase, and proteases, which play important roles in the digestion process and result in higher body weight and weight gain (Platel, and Srinivasan,

2000 and Al-Kassie et al., 2011).

Also, piperine has the ability to modify the morphology of the small intestine by reducing the inflammatory reactions at the intestinal mucosa which leads to the increase of the villus area and the functions of secretion, digestion, and absorption of nutrients by the mucosa (Miles et al., 2006). Piperine significantly stimulated  $\gamma$ -glutamyl transpeptidase activity, enhanced the uptake of radiolabelled amino acids so the absorptive function of the intestine has been improved. Piperine may interact with the lipid environment to produce effects leading to increased permeability of the intestinal cells (Johri et al., 1992). All of these factors play together to improve productive performance as well as improve relative weights of carcass and dressing.

The improvement in carcass characteristics (carcass and dressing percentage) may be attributed to the improvement in the growth rate that occurred as a result of the use of piperine in broiler feeding. Our results of carcass traits are compatible with that observed by (Ghazalah et al., 2007; Hosseini, 2011; Al-Kassie et al., 2011; Cardoso et al., 2012; Ghaedi et al., 2014 and Moradi et al., 2016).

Free radicals are created in the body as by-products of regular metabolism or as a result of radiation and



some environmental contaminants exposure. The body's sensitivity to free radical-mediated damage is related to the balance between pro-oxidant load and antioxidant defense sufficiency (Young and Woodside, 2001). It may be possible to reduce the damage by raising the antioxidant content in tissues (Beckman and Ames, 1998). Many studies have indicated that various spice principles form an important group as antioxidants. Piperine has been demonstrated in *in vitro* experiments to protect against oxidative damage by inhibiting or quenching free radicals and reactive oxygen species and inhibit lipid peroxidation. Piperine was found to act as a hydroxyl radical scavenger at low concentrations, but at higher concentrations, it activated the Fenton reaction resulting in increased generation of hydroxyl radicals (Mittal and Gupta, 2000; Krishnakantha and Lokesh, 1993). Piperine suppresses the accumulation of lipid peroxidation products, enhances the activity of antioxidant enzymes and eliminates the accumulation and activation of polymorphonuclear cell. Selvendiran et al. (2004) investigated the impact of piperine on alterations of mitochondrial antioxidant system and lipid peroxidation. Oral supplementation of piperine (50 mg/kg body weight) effectively decreases the extent of mitochondrial lipid peroxidation and concomitant increase in the activities of enzymatic antioxidants (superoxide dismutase, catalase, and glutathione peroxidase) and non-enzymatic antioxidant (reduced glutathione, vitamin E, and vitamin C) levels. This suggests that piperine may extend its chemopreventive effect by modulating lipid peroxidation and augmenting the antioxidant defense system.

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, it could be recommended that, supplemented diet with piperine up to the level of 100 mg/kg of feed is used to improve productive performance traits and oxidative status broiler.

**Author Contributions:** T. E. and A. A. planned and supervised the research. A. E. and T. E. conducted the experimental work and analyzed the data. T. E.; A. A. and A. E. wrote the manuscript with the input of all the other authors.

**Funding:** "This research received no external funding"

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The institutional ethical rules of Agriculture College - Tanta University (No. AY 2019-2020/Session 6/2020.01.13) in dealing with animals for scientific purposes were followed during the experiment period.

**Informed Consent Statement:** "Not applicable"

**Data Availability Statement:** "Not applicable"

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are thankful to the lab technicians.

**Conflicts of Interest:** No conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## 5. References

- Al-Kassie, G. A.; Al-Nasrawi, M. A. and Ajeena, S. J. (2011). Use of black pepper (*Piper nigrum*) as feed additive in broilers diet. *Research Opinions in Animal & Veterinary Sciences*.
- Bano, G.; Raina, R. K.; Zutshi, U.; Bedi, K. L.; Johri, R. K. and Sharma, S. C. (1991). Effect of piperine on bio-availability and pharmacokinetics of propranolol and theophylline in healthy volunteers. *European journal of clinical pharmacology*, 41: 615-617.
- Botsoglou, N. A.; Florou-Paneri, P.; Christaki, E.; Fletouris, D. J. and Spais, A. B. (2002). Effect of dietary oregano essential oil on performance of chickens and on iron-induced lipid oxidation of breast, thigh and abdominal fat tissues. *British poultry science*, 43(2): 223-230.
- Botsoglou, N. A.; Florou-Paneri, P.; Christaki, E.; Fletouris, D. J.; and Spais, A. B. (2002). Effect of dietary oregano essential oil on performance of chickens and on iron-induced lipid oxidation of breast, thigh and abdominal fat tissues. *British poultry science*, 43(2): 223-230.
- Cardoso, V. D. S.; Lima, C. A. R. D.; Lima, M. E. F. D.; Dorneles, L. E. G. and Danelli, M. D. G. M. (2012). Piperine as a phytogenic additive in broiler diets. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 47: 489-496.
- Castanon, J. I. R. (2007). History of the use of antibiotic as growth promoters in European poultry feeds. *Poultry Science*, 86(11): 2466-2471.
- Cowan, M. M. (1999). Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clinical microbiology reviews*, 12(4): 564-582.
- Cross, D. E.; McDevitt, R. M.; Hillman, K. and Aca-movic, T. (2007). The effect of herbs and their associated essential oils on performance, dietary digestibility and gut microflora in chickens from 7 to 28 days of age. *British poultry science*, 48(4): 496-506.
- Dogra, R. K.; Khanna, S. and Shanker, R. (2004). Immunotoxicological effects of piperine in mice. *Toxicology*, 196(3): 229-236.
- Dorman, H. D. and Deans, S. G. (2000). Antimicrobial agents from plants: antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. *Journal of applied microbiology*, 88(2): 308-316.
- Duncan, B.D. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F. Test. *Biometrics*, 11: 1- 42.
- Ertas, O. N.; Guler, T.; Çiftçi, M.; Dalkılıç, B. and Simsek, U. G. (2005). The effect of an essential oil mix derived from oregano, clove and anise on broiler performance. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 4(11): 879-884.
- Ghaedi, H.; Nasr, J.; Kheiri, F.; Rahimian, Y. and Miri, Y. (2014). The effect of virginiamycin and black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.) extract on performance of broiler chicks. *Research Opinions in Animal and Veterinary*

Ghazalah, A.A.; El-Hakim, A.S.A. and Refaie, A.M. (2007). Response of broiler chicks to some dietary growth promoters throughout different growth period. *Egyptian Poultry Science*, 27:53-57.

Hernandez, F.; Madrid, J.; Garcia, V.; Orengo, J. and Megias, M. D. (2004). Influence of two plant extracts on broilers performance, digestibility, and digestive organ size. *Poultry science*, 83(2):169-174.

Hosseini M. N. (2011). Comparison of using different level of black pepper with probiotic on performance and serum composition on broilers chickens. *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research* 1(11): 2425-2428.

Johri, R. K.; Thusu, N.; Khajuria, A. and Zutshi, U. (1992). Piperine-mediated changes in the permeability of rat intestinal epithelial cells: the status of  $\gamma$ -glutamyl transpeptidase activity, uptake of amino acids and lipid peroxidation. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 43(7): 1401-1407.

Karan, R. S.; Bhargava, V. K. and Garg, S. K. (1999). Effect of trikatu, an Ayurvedic prescription, on the pharmacokinetic profile of rifampicin in rabbits. *Journal of ethnopharmacology*, 64(3): 259-264.

Khajuria, A.; Thusu, N. and Zutshi, U. (2002). Piperine modulates permeability characteristics of intestine by inducing alterations in membrane dynamics: influence on brush border membrane fluidity, ultrastructure and enzyme kinetics. *Phytomedicine*, 9(3): 224-231.

Khajuria, A.; Zutshi, U. and Bedi, K. L. (1998). Permeability characteristics of piperine on oral absorption-an active alkaloid from peppers and a bioavailability enhancer. *Indian journal of experimental biology*, 36: 46-50.

Kohlert, C.; Van Rensen, I.; März, R.; Schindler, G.; Graefe, E. U. and Veit, M. (2000). Bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of natural volatile terpenes in animals and humans. *Planta medica*, 66(6): 495-505.

Krishnakantha, T. P. and Lokesh, B. R. (1993). Scavenging of superoxide anions by spice principles, *Indian J. Biochem. Biophys.*, 30:133-134.

Miles, R. D.; Butcher, G. D.; Henry, P. R., & Littell, R. C. (2006). Effect of antibiotic growth promoters on broiler performance, intestinal growth parameters, and quantitative morphology. *Poultry Science*, 85(3), 476-485.

Mittal, R. and Gupta, R. L. (2000). In vitro antioxidant activity of piperine. *Methods and findings in experimental and clinical pharmacology*, 22(5): 271-274.

Mittal, R. and Gupta, R. L. (2000). In vitro antioxidant activity of piperine. *Methods and findings in experimental and clinical pharmacology*, 22(5): 271-274.

Moradi, S.; Mousavinia, M. and Galeh, B. A. (2016). Effect of use black and red pepper powder as feed addi-

tive on performance and some immune parameters of Cobb 500 broiler chicks. *CIBTech J. Zool*, 5: 45-50.

NRC (1994). *Nutrient requirement of poultry*. 9th ed. Nat. Acad. Press, Washington. DC. USA.

Ocak, N.; Erener, G.; Burak Ak, F.; Sungu, M.; Altop, A. and Ozmen, A. (2008). Performance of broilers fed diets supplemented with dry peppermint (*Mentha piperita* L.) or thyme (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) leaves as growth promoter source. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 53(4): 169.

Perić, L.; Žikić, D.; and Lukić, M. (2009). Application of alternative growth promoters in broiler production. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry*, 25(5-6-1): 387-397.

Platel, K. and Srinivasan, K. (2000). Influence of dietary spices and their active principles on pancreatic digestive enzymes in albino rats, *Nahrung*, 44:42-46.

Pradeep, C. R. and Kuttan, G. (2004). Piperine is a potent inhibitor of nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B (NF- $\kappa$ B), c-Fos, CREB, ATF-2 and proinflammatory cytokine gene expression in B16F-10 melanoma cells. *International immunopharmacology*, 4(14): 1795-1803.

Ramakrishna, R.; Platel, K. and Srinivasan, K. (2003). In vitro influence of spices and spice-active principles on digestive enzymes of rat pancreas and small intestine. *Food/Nahrung*, 47(6): 408-412.

Reddy, S. V.; Srinivas, P. V.; Praveen, B.; Kishore, K. H.; Raju, B. C.; Murthy, U. S. and Rao, J. M. (2004). Antibacterial constituents from the berries of *Piper nigrum*. *Phytomedicine*, 11(7-8): 697-700.

Reen, R. K.; Wiebel, F. J. and Singh, J. (1997). Piperine inhibits aflatoxin B1-induced cytotoxicity and genotoxicity in V79 Chinese hamster cells genetically engineered to express rat cytochrome P4502B1. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 58(3): 165-173.

SAS institute (1996). *SAS/STAT User's Guide; Statistics*, Ver. 6.04, Fourth edition, SAS Institute, Inc., Carry, NC.

Scheuermann, G. N., Cunha Junior, A., Cypriano, L. and Gabbi, A. M. (2009). Phyto-genic additive as an alternative to growth promoters in broiler chickens. *Ciência Rural*, 39: 522-527.

Selvendiran, K.; Senthilnathan, P.; Magesh, V. and Sakthisekaran, D. (2004). Modulatory effect of Piperine on mitochondrial antioxidant system in Benzo (a) pyrene-induced experimental lung carcinogenesis. *Phyto-medicine*, 11(1): 85-89.

Windisch, W. and Kroismayr, A. (2006). The effects of phytobiotics on performance and gut function in monogastrics. In *World nutrition forum: The future of animal nutrition*. Austria, Vienna: University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences Vienna. 85-90.

Young I.S. and Woodside J.V. (2001). Antioxidants in health and disease. *J Clin Pathol*, 54: 176-186.

---

Zutshi, R. K.; Singh, R.; Zutshi, U.; Johri, R. K. and Atal, C. K. (1985). Influence of piperine on rifampicin blood levels in patients of pulmonary tuberculosis. *The Journal of the Association of Physicians of India*, 33(3): 223-224.